

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/603,817                      | 06/26/2003  | Paul G. Kostenick JR. | 05165.1200              | 2739             |  |
| 7590 11/23/2005                 |             |                       | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP           |             |                       | FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB  |                  |  |
| Washington Square<br>Suite 1100 |             |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.   |             |                       | 2856                    |                  |  |
| Washington, DC 20036            |             |                       | DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.<br>10/603,817 | Applicant(s) KOSTENICK ET AL. | And |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| Examiner                      | Art Unit                      |     |
| Nashmiya S. Fayyaz            | 2856                          |     |

| before the riling of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit                                      |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | Nashmiya S. Fayyaz  | 2856  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C  | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b)  he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                         |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further compared by They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>   | onsideration and/or search (see NO  |   | ecause                         |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | -   | ducing or simplifying                         | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.                                 |                                |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.   |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   | • ••  | mpliant Amendment                             | (PTOL-324).                    |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ol>   | -   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |   | •   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi<br>ovided below or appended.  | II be entered and an e                        | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S                                   | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ils to provide a<br>1).        |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                       | ned.                           |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b   | ut does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowa                        | nce because:                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to the claims with the numerous insertions presents a new combination for the claims that raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration.

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800